arXiv:1101.4286v1 [math.GR] 22 Jan 2011

On the probability of satisfying a word in nilpotent groups of class 2

Matthew Levy

Imperial College London

Abstract

Let G be a finite group of nilpotency class 2 and w a group word. In this short paper we show that the probability that a random n-tuple of elements from G satisfies w is at least one over the order of G. This answers a special case of a conjecture of Alon Amit.

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group, $w(x_1, ..., x_n)$ a group word and denote by $N(G, w = c)$ the number of n-tuples $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, ..., g_n) \in G^{(n)}$ satisfying $w(\mathbf{g}) = c$, that is

$$N(G, w = c) = |\{\mathbf{g} \in G^{(n)} : w(\mathbf{g}) = c\}|.$$

Also, denote by $P(G, w = c)$ the probability that a random n-tuple $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, ..., g_n) \in G^{(n)}$ satisfies $w(\mathbf{g}) = c$, that is

$$P(G, w = c) = \frac{N(G, w = c)}{|G|^n}.$$

When $c = 1$ we will just write $N(G, w)$ and $P(G, w)$ and we will say that $\mathbf{g}$ *satisfies* w if $w(\mathbf{g}) = 1$. If G were abelian, then the word map

$$w : G^{(n)} \to G,$$

defined by a word w is a homomorphism and it is clear that

$$N(G, w) = |\text{Ker } w| = \frac{|G|^n}{|\text{Im } w|} \geq |G|^{n-1}$$

and so $P(G, w) \geq \frac{1}{|G|}$.

It is a conjecture of Alon Amit (see [**1**] or [**2**]) that if G is a nilpotent group then $P(G, w) \geq \frac{1}{|G|}$. Here we establish the result for nilpotency class 2 groups in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a finite group of nilpotency class 2. Then for any group word w, $P(G, w) \geq \frac{1}{|G|}$.*

This result improves the bound in the nilpotency class 2 case established in a paper by Nikolov and Segal (see [**3**]).

Remark 1.2. If the theorem holds true for two groups G_1 and G_2 then it holds true for their direct product $G = G_1 \times G_2$ since the word values can be solved componentwise. Let $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, ..., g_n)$ be an n-tuple in G then $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{a}.\mathbf{h}$ where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, ..., a_n)$ and $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, ..., h_n)$ are n-tuples in G_1 and G_2 respectively. Then it is clear that $w(\mathbf{g}) = w(\mathbf{a}).w(\mathbf{h})$. Hence $P(G, w) \geq P(G_1, w).P(G_2, w)$ and the result follows since $|G| = |G_1|.|G_2|$. In fact, if A is abelian and the theorem holds for a group H which acts on A by automorphisms then the theorem holds for $G = A \rtimes H$.

Proposition 1.3. *Let G be a finite group such that $G = A \rtimes H$ where A and H are subgroups of G and A is abelian. Suppose that $P(H, w) \geq \frac{1}{|H|}$ where w is a group word then $P(G, w) \geq \frac{1}{|G|}$.*

Proof. For any $g \in G$ we may write $g = ah$ for unique $a \in A$ and $h \in H$, so if w is a word in n variables we have, for some $a_i \in A$ and $h_i \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} w(g_1, ...g_n) &= w(a_1h_1, ..., a_nh_n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{\phi_i(h_1,...,h_n)} w(h_1, ..., h_n), \end{aligned}$$

where the $\phi_i(h_1, ..., h_n)$ are automorphisms of A depending on the h_i. Note that there are at least $|H|^{n-1}$ n-tuples, $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, ..., h_n) \in H^{(n)}$, satisfying w. Having fixed such an $\mathbf{h} \in H^{(n)}$ consider the induced map on $A^{(n)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathbf{h}} : A^{(n)} &\longrightarrow A \\ (a_1, ..., a_n) &\longmapsto \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{\phi_i(h)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since A is an abelian group, $T_{\mathbf{h}}$ is a linear map and the number of n-tuples $\mathbf{a} \in A^{(n)}$ such that $T_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{a}) = 1$ is at least $|A|^{n-1}$. The result follows. □

Since any nilpotent group is a direct product of its Sylow subgroups, by the above remark it will be enough to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a finite p-group of nilpotency class 2 where p is a prime. Then for any group word w, $P(G, w) \geq \frac{1}{|G|}$.*

2 Nilpotent class 2 groups

We begin by making the following definition:

Definition 2.1. We will say that two group words w_1 and w_2 on n variables are *G-equivalent* if $N(G, w_1 = c) = N(G, w_2 = c)$ for every $c \in G$.

Remark 2.2. It is clear that relabelling the variables of w gives *G-equivalent* words for any group G since the word maps are unchanged. Suppose that w and w' are two group words in n variables such that $w \equiv w' \bmod R$ where R is a normal subgroup of the free group of rank n, F_n. Then it is easy to see that w

and w' are *G-equivalent* for any group G that is a homomorphic image of F_n/R since $v(G) = 1$ for any $v \in R$. Also, suppose $w(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is a group word and that $w(x_1, ..., x_n) = v(y_1, ..., y_n)$ where v is a group word and the y_i are words in the x_is. Let $\mathfrak{N}_{2,m}$ denote the class of all finite p-groups of nilpotency class at most 2 and of exponent at most p^m. If the set $\{y_1, ..., y_n\}$ maps onto a basis for the vector space $L_n/\Phi(L_n)$ where L_n is the free $\mathfrak{N}_{2,m}$-group on n generators then w is *G-equivalent* to the word $v(y_1, ..., y_n)$ for any $G \in \mathfrak{N}_{2,m}$, since for each i the image of x_i in L_n can be expressed as a word in the y_js. This follows from the Burnside Basis Theorem (see [**4**]).

The following commutator identities will be used throughout and are easy to prove:

(1) $[x, y] = x^{-1}x^y$;

(2) $[xy, z] \equiv [x, z][y, z] \mod \gamma_3(F_n)$;

(3) $[x, yz] \equiv [x, y][x, z] \mod \gamma_3(F_n)$;

(4) $[x, y]^{-1} \equiv [y, x] \equiv [x^{-1}, y] \equiv [x, y^{-1}] \mod \gamma_3(F_n)$;

(5) $(xy)^n \equiv x^n y^n [y, x]^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \mod \gamma_3(F_n)$.

Let $w(x_1, ..., x_n)$ be any group word and fix a group $G \in \mathfrak{N}_{2,m}$. By Hall's Collecting Process (see [**4**]), we can write w in the form

$$w(x_1, ..., x_n) = x_1^{\alpha_1} ... x_n^{\alpha_n} (\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{i<j} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}}) c, \tag{1}$$

where $c \in \gamma_3(F_n)$, F_n being the free group of rank n and α_i, $\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We aim to show that the word map given by w is '*equivalent over G*', in the sense of definition 2.1, to the word map given by a particular word w', where it will be easy to see that $P(G, w') \geq \frac{1}{|G|}$. To do this we will first prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $w(x_1, ..., x_n) = x_1^{\alpha_1} ... x_n^{\alpha_n}$ be an element of the free group of rank n and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any $G \in \mathfrak{N}_{2,m}$, w is G-equivalent to the word*

$$v(y_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = y_1^{p^l} \prod_{1<i<j} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}} \prod_{i=2}^{n} [y_1, x_i]^{\gamma_i},$$

for some l, β_{ij}, $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $R = \gamma_3(F_n) F_n^{p^m}$ and write $w(x_1, ..., x_n) = x_{i_1}^{p^{l_1} m_1} ... x_{i_k}^{p^{l_k} m_k}$, where $i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_k$, $l_j, m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l_j \geq 0$ and the m_j are non-zero and coprime to p for all j. Choose l_t minimal among the l_j and let

$$y_{i_t} = x_{i_1}^{p^{l_1 - l_t} m_1} ... x_{i_k}^{p^{l_k - l_t} m_k}.$$

Note that in the above expression for y_{i_t} the exponent of x_{i_t} is m_t. Then

$$y_{i_t}^{p^{l_t}} \equiv x_{i_1}^{p^{l_1} m_1} ... x_{i_k}^{p^{l_k} m_k} \prod_{i_1 \leq i_p < i_q \leq i_k} [x_{i_p}, x_{i_q}]^{-p^{l_p + l_q - l_t} m_p m_q \frac{p^{l_t} - 1}{2}} \mod \gamma_3(F_n),$$

so that

$$w(x_1,...,x_n) \equiv y_{i_t}^{p^{l_t}} \prod_{i<j} [x_i,x_j]^{\beta_{ij}} \mod \gamma_3(F_n), \tag{2}$$

for some $\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $x_{i_t}^{m_t} = (\prod_{s<t} x_{i_s}^{p^{l_s-l_t}m_s})^{-1} y_{i_t} (\prod_{s>t} x_{i_s}^{p^{l_s-l_t}m_s})^{-1}$ and that since p does not divide m_t there exists a positive integer r_t such that $x_{i_t}^{m_t r_t} \equiv x_{i_t} \bmod F_n^{p^m}$. Substituting the resulting expression for $x_{i_t} \bmod F_n^{p^m}$ into (2) we have

$$w(x_1,...x_n) \equiv y_{i_t}^{p^{l_t}} \prod_{\substack{i<j \\ i,j\neq i_t}} [x_i,x_j]^{\beta'_{ij}} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i\neq i_t}}^{n} [y_{i_t},x_i]^{\gamma_i} \mod R,$$

for some $\beta'_{ij}, \gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The result follows in view of remark 2.2. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $w(x_1,...,x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{i<j} [x_i,x_j]^{\alpha_{ij}}$ be an element of the free group of rank n and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any $G \in \mathfrak{N}_{2,m}$, w is G-equivalent to the word*

$$v(y_1,...,y_{2k+1},x_{2k+2},...,x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} [y_{2i-1},y_{2i}]^{\gamma_{2i-1}\,\gamma_{2i}}$$

for some $\gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $2k \leq n$.

Proof. Let $R = \gamma_3(F_n)F_n^{p^m}$. We are going to describe an algorithm which shows us that we may write w in the form

$$w(x_1,...,x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} [y_{2i-1},y_{2i}]^{\gamma_{2i-1}\,2i} c$$

for some $\gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $2k \leq n$, the y_i are words in the x_is and $c \in \gamma_3(F_n)F_n^{p^m}$. In particular, each y_i is of the form $x_{i_1}^{p^{l_{i_1}}m_{i_1}} ... x_{i_d}^{p^{l_{i_d}}m_{i_d}}$ the m_{i_j} being non-zero and coprime to p with $l_{i_j} \geq 0$ and $l_{i_{u_i}} = 0$ for some u_i. Moreover, for all y_i, y_j with $i \neq j$ we have $i_{u_i} \neq j_{u_j}$, i.e. $x_{i_{u_i}} \neq x_{j_{u_j}}$. The result then follows in view of remark 2.2. We proceed as follows:

Choose the first non zero α_{ij}, with respect to the ordering in the product, say $\alpha_{s_1s_{11}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w(x_1,...,x_n) &= \prod_{i<j} [x_i,x_j]^{\alpha_{ij}} \\ &= [x_{s_1}, x_{s_{11}}^{\alpha_{s_1s_{11}}} ... x_{s_{1q}}^{\alpha_{s_1s_{1q}}}] \prod_{s_1<i<j} [x_i,x_j]^{\alpha_{ij}}, \end{aligned}$$

with $s_1 < s_{11} < s_{12} < ... < s_{1q}$ and $\alpha_{s_1s_{1j}}$ non-zero for all j. Now $\alpha_{s_1s_{1j}} = p^{l_{s_1s_{1j}}} m_{s_1s_{1j}}$ where $l_{s_1s_{1j}} \geq 0$ is an integer and $m_{s_1s_{1j}}$ is coprime to p. So choose l_{ij} minimal among the $l_{s_1s_{1j}}$, say $l_{s_1s_{1u_1}}$, and let

$$y_{s_{1u_1}} = x_{s_{11}}^{p^{l_{s_1s_{11}}-l_{s_1s_{1u_1}}}m_{s_1s_{11}}} ... x_{s_{1q}}^{p^{l_{s_1s_{1q}}-l_{s_1s_{1u_1}}}m_{s_1s_{1q}}}.$$

Similarly to the previous lemma,

$$[x_{s_1}, x_{s_{11}}^{\alpha_{s_1 s_{11}}} ... x_{s_{1q}}^{\alpha_{s_1 s_{1q}}}] \equiv [x_{s_1}, y_{s_{1u_1}}]^{p^{l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}}}} \mod \gamma_3(F_n).$$

So

$$w(x_1, ..., x_n) \equiv [x_{s_1}, y_{s_{1u_1}}]^{p^{l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}}}} \prod_{s_1 < i < j} [x_i, x_j]^{\alpha_{ij}} \mod \gamma_3(F_n). \quad (3)$$

If the remaining α_{ij} are all zero we can stop here noting that in the expression for $y_{s_{1u_1}}$ the exponent of $x_{s_{1u_1}}$ is non-zero and coprime to p and $x_{s_{1u_1}} \neq x_{s_1}$. Otherwise, as in the previous lemma, we may substitute $x_{s_{1u_1}} \bmod F_n^{p^m}$ from $\prod_{s_1<i<j}[x_i, x_j]^{\alpha_{ij}}$ in (3) giving us

$$w \equiv [x_{s_1}, y_{s_{1u_1}}]^{p^{l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}}}} [y_{s_{1u_1}}, x_{s_{21}}^{\alpha'_{s_{1u_1} s_{21}}} ... x_{s_{2r}}^{\alpha'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2r}}}] \prod_{\substack{s_1<i<j \\ i,j \neq s_{1u_1}}} [x_i, x_j]^{\alpha'_{ij}} \mod R,$$

where $\alpha'_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s_1 < s_{21} < ... < s_{2r}$. Note that $s_{2j} \neq s_{1u_1}$ for all j. Now, $\alpha'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2i}} = p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2i}}} m'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2i}}$ with the $m'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2i}}$ non-zero and coprime to p. Again choose $l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}$ minimal among the $l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2i}}$ and let

$$y_{s_{2u_2}} = x_{s_{21}}^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{21}} - l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}} m'_{s_{1u_1} s_{21}}} ... x_{s_{2r}}^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2r}} - l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}} m'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2r}}}.$$

Note that the exponent of $x_{s_{2u_2}}$ in the expression for $y_{s_{2u_2}}$ is non-zero and coprime to p and that $x_{s_{2u_2}} \neq x_{s_{1u_1}}$. Then, similarly to before,

$$[y_{s_{1u_1}}, x_{s_{21}}^{\alpha'_{s_{1u_1} s_{21}}} ... x_{s_{2r}}^{\alpha'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2r}}}] \equiv [y_{s_{1u_1}}, y_{s_{2u_2}}]^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}} \mod \gamma_3(F_n).$$

Thus

$$w = [x_{s_1}, y_{s_{1u_1}}]^{p^{l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}}}} [y_{s_{1u_1}}, y_{s_{2u_2}}]^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}} \prod_{\substack{s_1<i<j \\ i,j \neq s_{1u_1}}} [x_i, x_j]^{\alpha'_{ij}} \mod R. \quad (4)$$

There are two cases to consider.

Case (1a): The first case is when $l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}} \leq l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}$. We have

$$[x_{s_1}, y_{s_{1u_1}}]^{p^{l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}}}} [y_{s_{1u_1}}, y_{s_{2u_2}}]^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}} \equiv [y_{s_{1u_1}}, y_{s_1}]^{p^{l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}}}} \mod \gamma_3(F_n),$$

where $y_{s_1} = x_{s_1}^{-1} y_{s_{2u_2}}^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}} - l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}}}}$. This gives

$$w(x_1, ..., x_n) \equiv [y_{s_{1u_1}}, y_{s_1}]^{p^{l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}}}} \prod_{\substack{s_1<i<j \\ i,j \neq s_{1u_1}}} [x_i, x_j]^{\alpha'_{ij}} \mod R. \quad (5)$$

Note that in the expression for y_{s_1} the exponent of x_{s_1} is non-zero and coprime to p as is the exponent of $x_{s_{1u_1}}$ in $y_{s_{1u_1}}$. In particular note that neither x_{s_1} nor $x_{s_{1u_1}}$ appear in the rest of the expression for $w \bmod R$ and that $x_{s_{1u_1}} \neq x_{s_1}$. We can set $y_1 := y_{s_{1u_1}}$ and $y_2 := y_{s_1}$ and repeat the algorithm for the rest of the commutators.

Case (2a): The second case however is when $l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}} > l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}$. We have

$$[x_{s_1}, y_{s_{1u_1}}]^{p^{l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}}}} [y_{s_{1u_1}}, y_{s_{2u_2}}]^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}} \equiv [y_{s_{1u_1}}, y_{t_{2u_2}}]^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}} \mod \gamma_3(F_n)$$

where $y_{t_{2u_2}} = x_{s_1}^{-p^{l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}} - l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}} y_{s_{2u_2}}$. This gives

$$w(x_1, ..., x_n) \equiv [y_{s_{1u_1}}, y_{t_{2u_2}}]^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}} \prod_{\substack{s_1 < i < j \\ i,j \neq s_{1u_1}}} [x_i, x_j]^{\alpha'_{ij}} \mod R. \qquad (6)$$

Here the exponent of $x_{s_{1u_1}}$ in $y_{s_{1u_1}}$ is non-zero and coprime to p and the same is true for the exponent of $x_{s_{2u_2}}$ in $y_{t_{2u_2}}$. However, in contrast to Case $(1a)$, whilst $x_{s_{1u_1}}$ does not appear in the rest of the expression for w mod R it is possible that $x_{s_{2u_2}}$ may. If it doesn't then set $y_1 := y_{s_{1u_1}}$ and $y_2 := y_{t_{2u_2}}$ and repeat the algorithm for the rest of the commutators, if there are any. If it does substitute it out of the expression in (6) using

$$\begin{aligned} y_{t_{2u_2}} &= x_{s_1}^{-p^{l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}} - l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}} y_{s_{2u_2}} \\ &= x_{s_1}^{-p^{l_{s_1 s_{1u_1}} - l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}} x_{s_{21}}^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{21}} - l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}} m'_{s_{1u_1} s_{21}}} ... x_{s_{2r}}^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2r}} - l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}} m'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2r}}}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$w \equiv [y_{s_{1u_1}}, y_{t_{2u_2}}]^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}} [y_{t_{2u_2}}, x_{s_{31}}^{\alpha''_{t_{2u_2} s_{31}}} ... x_{s_{3k}}^{\alpha''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3k}}}] \prod_{\substack{i<j \\ i,j \neq s_{1u_1}, s_{2u_2}}} [x_i, x_j]^{\alpha''_{ij}} \mod R,$$

where, as usual, $\alpha''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3j}} = p^{l''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3j}}} m''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3j}}$ for all j. Now choose $l''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3u_3}}$ minimal among the $l''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3j}}$ and let

$$y_{s_{3u_3}} = x_{s_{31}}^{p^{l''_{t_{2u_2} s_{31}} - l''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3u_3}}} m''_{t_{2u_2} s_{31}}} ... x_{s_{3k}}^{p^{l''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3k}} - l''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3u_3}}} m''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3k}}}.$$

Then, as before, the expression for w becomes

$$w \equiv [y_{s_{1u_1}}, y_{t_{2u_2}}]^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}} [y_{t_{2u_2}}, y_{s_{3u_3}}]^{p^{l''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3u_3}}}} \prod_{\substack{i<j \\ i,j \neq s_{1u_1}, s_{2u_2}}} [x_i, x_j]^{\alpha''_{ij}} \mod R. \quad (7)$$

Again there are two cases.

Case (1b): If $l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}} \leq l''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3u_3}}$ then w becomes

$$w(x_1, ..., x_n) \equiv [y_{t_{2u_2}}, y_{v_{1u_1}}]^{p^{l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}} \prod_{\substack{i<j \\ i,j \neq s_{1u_1}, s_{2u_2}}} [x_i, x_j]^{\alpha''_{ij}} \mod R, \quad (8)$$

where $y_{v_{1u_1}} = y_{s_{1u_1}}^{-1} y_{s_{3u_3}}^{p^{l''_{t_{2u_2} s_{3u_3}} - l'_{s_{1u_1} s_{2u_2}}}}$ and we are done as in Case $(1a)$.

Case (2b): If however $l'_{s_{1u_1}s_{2u_2}} > l''_{t_{2u_2}s_{3u_3}}$ we can repeat the algorithm described in Case $(2a)$ above and we will end up with an expression of the form

$$w \equiv [y_{t_{2u_2}}, y_{t_{3u_3}}]^{p^{l''_{t_{2u_2}s_{3u_3}}}} [y_{t_{3u_3}}, y_{s_{4u_4}}]^{p^{l'''_{t_{3u_3}s_{4u_4}}}} \prod_{\substack{i<j \\ i,j\neq s_{2u_2},s_{3u_3}}} [x_i, x_j]^{\alpha'''_{ij}} \mod R, \quad (9)$$

where $y_{t_{3u_3}}$ and $y_{s_{4u_4}}$ are words in the x_is with the exponents of $x_{s_{3u_3}}$ and $x_{s_{4u_4}}$ non-zero and coprime to p respectively. Again there are two cases. If in (9) we have $l''_{t_{2u_2}s_{3u_3}} \leq l'''_{t_{3u_3}s_{4u_4}}$ then, as in Cases $(1a)$ and $(1b)$, we are done. If not we have $l_{s_1s_{1u_1}} > l'_{s_{1u_1}s_{2u_2}} > l''_{t_{2u_2}s_{3u_3}} > l'''_{t_{3u_3}s_{4u_4}} \geq ... \geq 0$ and we keep going until the algorithm stops, i.e. we are in the first case.

We will eventually end up with an expression like (5) or (8). If the remaining α''_{ij}, in (8) say, are all zero we can stop here. Otherwise, set $y_1 := y_{t_{2u_2}}$ and $y_2 := y_{v_{1u_1}}$ and repeat the algorithm on the rest of the commutators. Eventually, after relabelling, we have an expression for w of the desired form. □

We are now ready to prove the theorem. Let $w(x_1, ..., x_n)$ be any group word and fix a group $G \in \mathfrak{N}_{2,m}$. From (1) we have

$$w(x_1, ..., x_n) \equiv x_1^{\alpha_1} ... x_n^{\alpha_n} (\prod_{i<j} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta_{ij}}) \mod \gamma_3(F_n).$$

By Lemma 2.3 w is *G-equivalent* to the word

$$w'(y_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = y_1^{p^l} \prod_{i<j} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta'_{ij}} [y_1, h]$$

for some l, $\beta'_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where h is some word in the x_is with $i \neq 1$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.3 we can substitute x_1 out of the expression above giving us

$$w'(y_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \equiv y_1^{p^l} \prod_{1<i<j} [x_i, x_j]^{\beta''_{ij}} [y_1, h'] \mod R$$

for some $\beta''_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where R denotes $\gamma_3(F_n)F_n^{p^m}$ and h' is some word in the x_is with $i \neq 1$. Then by Lemma 2.4 w' is *G-equivalent* to the word

$$v(y_1, y_2, ..., y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}, ..., x_n) = y_1^{p^l} \prod_{i=1}^{k} [y_{2i}, y_{2i+1}]^{\gamma_{2i\,2i+1}} [y_1, h'']$$

for some $\gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $2k+1 \leq n$ and h'' is some word in the y_is and x_js for $i = 2, ..., 2k+1$ and $j = 2k+2, ..., n$. We write this as

$$v(y_1, y_2, z_2, ..., y_{k+1}, z_{k+1}, x_{2k+2}, ..., x_n) = y_1^{p^l} \prod_{i=2}^{k+1} [y_i, z_i]^{v_i} [y_1, h''],$$

where $v_{i+1} = \gamma_{2i\,2i+1}$. Consider the word map given by v. The commutator map from $G \times G$ to G sending a pair (x, y) to its commutator $[x, y]$ is a bilinear map. Fixing the z_i for all i and restricting y_1 to the derived group of G we obtain a linear map

$$v' : G' \times G^{(n-1-k)} \to G',$$

defined by $v'(y_1, ..., y_{k+1}, x_{2k+2}, ..., x_n) = v(y_1, y_2, z_2, ..., y_{k+1}, z_{k+1}, x_{2k+2}, ..., x_n)$. Now $|\{\mathbf{g} \in G' \times G^{(n-1-k)} : v'(\mathbf{g}) = 1\}| \geq |G|^{n-1-k}$ and so $N(G, v) \geq |G|^{n-1}$ since we had $|G|$ choices for each of the z_i. Thus $P(G, v) \geq \frac{1}{|G|}$ and the result follows since $P(G, w) = P(G, v)$.

References

[1] A. Amit, *On equations in nilpotent groups*, in preparation.

[2] M. Abért, *On the probability of satisfying a word in a group*, J. Group Theory 9 (2006), 685-694.

[3] N. Nikolov and D. Segal, *A characterization of finite soluble groups*, Bull London Math. Soc. 39 (2007), 209-213.

[4] M. Hall, *The Theory of Groups*, Second Edition, AMS Chelsea Publishing, (1976).